Case 1:13-cv-01082-SJ-RER Document 99 Filed 07/06/15 Pag	ge 1 of 5 PageID #: 1244
•.	
	US DISTRICT COUNT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	OS DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	* JUL 06 2012 🖟
KAREN BRIM,	BROOKLYN OFFICE
Plaintiff,	
v. 13 C	V 1082 (SJ) (RER)
CITY OF NEW YORK, P.O. TIMOTHY REILLY, P.O. RALPH GIORDANO, SERGEANT SALVATORE MANNINO,	
Defendants.	
X	
SPECIAL VERDICT FORM  1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance	e of the evidence that
SPECIAL VERDICT FORM  1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderanc there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No	
<ol> <li>FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April Yes No No</li></ol>	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This
<ol> <li>FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April Yes No No</li></ol>	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This
<ol> <li>FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April Yes No</li> <li>a. If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), then please indicate we Defendants had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.</li> <li>Obstructing Governmental Administration</li> </ol>	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April Yes No  a. If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), then please indicate we Defendants had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.  Obstructing Governmental Administration Assault in the Second Degree Disorderly Conduct Disorderly Conduct Assault in the Third Degree	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No  a. If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), then please indicate we Defendants had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.  Obstructing Governmental Administration Assault in the Second Degree Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.  Obstructing Governmental Administration Assault in the Second Degree Attempted Criminal Obstruction of Breathing Menacing in the Second Degree Menacing in the Third Degree	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderance there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderanc there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No  a. If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), then please indicate we Defendants had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.  Obstructing Governmental Administration Assault in the Second Degree Plaintiff Karen Brim on April	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a
1. FALSE ARREST. Have Defendants proven by a preponderanc there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim on April  Yes No  a. If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), then please indicate we Defendants had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff Karen Brim check mark next to the crime(s) that any of you find probable question (1)(a) is the only question on this form that cunanimous response.  Obstructing Governmental Administration Assault in the Second Degree Disorderly Conduct Assault in the Third Degree Attempted Criminal Obstruction of Breathing Menacing in the Second Degree Harassment Harassment in the Second Degree Harassment Harassment	which charge or charges for committing. Place a cause to arrest. This does not require a

## If you answered Yes, to Question (1), skip to Question (2).

b.	If you answered "No," to question (1), please identify which Defendant or Defendants subjected her to a false arrest.			
De	fendant Timothy Reilly fendant Ralph Giordano fendant Salvatore Mannino			
c.	If you answered "No" to question (1), please state whether or not you believe that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she actually suffered compensatory damages as a result of the false arrest?			
	Yes No			
d.	If you answered "Yes" to question (1)(c), please indicate who caused her to suffer compensatory damages and what amount?			
De	fendant Timothy Reilly \$			
De	fendant Timothy Reilly \$ fendant Ralph Giordano \$ fendant Salvatore Mannino \$			
De	fendant Salvatore Mannino \$			
e.	If you answered "No" to question (1)(c), please indicate who caused her to suffer nominal damages and what amount?			
De	fendant Timothy Reilly \$			
De	fendant Ralph Giordano \$			
De	fendant Timothy Reilly \$ fendant Ralph Giordano \$ fendant Salvatore Mannino \$			
	Should punitive damages be awarded to Plaintiff, Karen Brim?			
	Yes No			
If you answered "Yes," state the amount of punitive damages you award:				
	<b>EXCESSIVE FORCE</b> . Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that e was subjected to excessive force by Defendant Timothy Reilly?			
	Yes No			
If	If you answered "No" to Question (2), skip to Question (3).			

a. If you answered "Yes" to question (2), please state whether or not you believe

	A ' -	es as a result of the excessive force?
	Yes	No
b.	If you answered "Yes" to quest compensatory damages you aw	stion (2)(a), state the amount of the ward: \$_30,000
c.	If you answered "No" to questi nominal damages you award.	tion (2)(a), please indicate the amount of  \$
d.	Should punitive damages be av	warded to plaintiff, Karen Brim?
	Yes	No
If you answ	wered "Yes," state the amount o	of punitive damages you award:
eviden		as Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the k has a custom or practice of handcuffing all
	Yes	No
If you answered	No to Question (3), skip to Qu	testion (4).
a.		estion (3), then please state whether this custom constitutional rights to be violated?
	Yes	No
b.	that Plaintiff has proven, by a p	estion (3)(a), then please state whether you find preponderance of the evidence, that she actually es as a result of the custom or practice?
	Yes	No
c.	If you answered "Yes" to quest compensatory damages you aw	stion (3)(b), then please state the amount of ward Plaintiff. \$
d.		tion (3)(b), then please state the amount of Plaintiff. \$

4. ASSA she was as		Brim proved by	y a preponderance of the evidence that
	Yes	-	No
	red "No," to Question te who committed an		uestion (5). If you answered "Yes," intiff.
Defendant Ti Defendant Ra Defendant Sa	mothy Reilly lph Giordano lvatore Mannino	<del></del>	
a.	believe that Plaintiff	has proven, by a	4), please state whether or not you a preponderance of the evidence, that damages as a result of the assault?
	Yes	No	
b.	•	- , ,	4)(a), please indicate who caused nages and what amount?
Defen Defen Defen	dant Timothy Reilly dant Ralph Giordano dant Salvatore Mannin	\$ \$ to \$	
	Has Plaintiff Karen Br ommitted against her?		preponderance of the evidence that a
	Yes	-	No
	red "No," to Question te who committed a b		uestion (6). If you answered "Yes," Plaintiff.
Defendant Tin Defendant Ra Defendant Sa	Inh Giordana		
Plaintiff h		nderance of the e	tate whether or not you believe that evidence, that she actually suffered or?

5.

	Yes	No
	you answered "Yes" to que ompensatory damages and v	estion (5)(a), please indicate who caused her to suffer what amount?
	Defendant Timothy Reill Defendant Ralph Giordan Defendant Salvatore Man	y \$ no \$ nnino \$
Plaint	iff Karen Brim has proven	N OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. Do you find that by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered an all distress as a result of Defendants' conduct?
	Yes	No
If you answe	ered "No" to Question (6),	, you are finished. Sign and date your verdict below.
	a. If you answered "Yes inflicted emotional di	s" to Question (6), please indicate who intentionally stress on her?
	Defendant Timothy R Defendant Ralph Gio Defendant Salvatore	Reilly rdano Mannino
	that Plaintiff has prov	s" to question (6), please state whether or not you believen, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she actuall ry damages as a result of the intentional infliction of
	Yes	No
		s" to question (6)(b), please indicate who caused her to damages and what amount?
	Defendant Timothy Reill Defendant Ralph Giordan Defendant Salvatore Mar	no \$
EAU.	DATE YOUR VERDICT	